

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexascins, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILIN	NG DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/721,606	11/2	25/2003	Chimsong Sul	10030224-1	10030224-1 5623	
57299 Kathy Mank	7590	05/23/2008	EXAM	EXAMINER		
Avago Tech	nologies Lim	iited	LEE, CHUN KUAN			
4380 Ziegler Road Fort Collins, CO 80525				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2181		
				NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
				05/23/2008	ELECTRONIC	

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

avagoip@system.foundationip.com kathy.manke@avagotech.com adrienne.barclay@avagotech.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Notice of Abandonment	10/721,606 SUL ET AL.		
Notice of Abandonment	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Chun-Kuan Lee	2181	

Glui-Ruai Lee   2101
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address
This application is abandoned in view of:
<ol> <li>Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office letter mailed on <u>01 October 2007</u>.</li> <li>(a) A reply was received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply (including a total extension of time of monthly) which expired on</li> <li>(b) A proposed reply was received on, but it does not constitute a proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 (a) to the final rejection</li> </ol>
(A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection consists only of; (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compilance with 37 CFR 1.114).
(c) ☐ A reply was received on but it does not constitute a proper reply, or a bona fide attempt at a proper reply, to the non-final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See explanation in box 7 below).
(d) ☑ No reply has been received.
<ol> <li>Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, within the statutory period of three months from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-65).</li> </ol>
(a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission date ), which is after the expiration of the statutory period for payment of the issue fee (and publication fee) set in the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85).
(b) ☐ The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance of \$ is due.
The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$
(c) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has not been received.
3. Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as required by, and within the three-month period set in, the Notice of Allowability (PTO-37).
<ul> <li>(a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply.</li> </ul>
(b) ☐ No corrected drawings have been received.
4. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the attorney or agent of record, the assignee of the entire interest, or all of the applicants.
<ol> <li>The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an attorney or agent (acting in a representative capacity under 37 CFR 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference rendered on and because the period for seeking court review of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claims.</li> </ol>
7. ☑ The reason(s) below:
The examiner called the attorney of record (Robert Blaha Reg. # 43,502) for the instant application (10/721,606) on May 15, 2008, and received confirmation that no response has been filed since the mailing of the preceding office action.
/Alford W. Kindred/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2163
Politions to review under 27 CED 4.127(a) or (b) or requests to withdraw the holding of shandoment under 27 CED 4.101, should be promptly filed to

minimize any negative effects on patent term.
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
PTOL-1432 (Rev. 04-01)